City of Lincoln Finance Department

Memo

To:

Honorable Mayor Coleen J Seng

From:

Don Herz, Finance Director

Date:

October 18, 2005

Re:

Analysis of the proposed sale of K-Street

Pursuant to the offer from a developer to purchase the K Street building, you have asked that I review the financial impact of the proposed sale. .

I organized a group comprised of the following members to assist in this analysis:

Don Killeen, City/County Building Commission Dave Kroeker, County Budget & Fiscal Director Brian Pillard, County Records Management Steve Hubka, City Budget Pat Genzmer, State of Nebraska, Leasing Coordinator Don Herz, City Finance Department

We began meeting in late June and determined that we would attempt to address the following financial issues:

- 1. Determine the gain or loss on the sale of the property based on the offer that was made by the developer.
- 2. Review various replacement options.
- 3. Determine space needs, including future capacity needs.
- 4. Calculate cash flow and balance sheet implications of various replacement options.

Summary

- > The sale of K-Street would net approximately \$1.8 million if sold in 2006 at the appraised value.
- An RFP should be used to verify replacement options. These options should consider a long term lease, new constructions and refurbishing an existing building.
- The current building has capacity to store State records for an additional year. City/County records will be at capacity in about 4 years.

- An estimate of the additional cash flow to lease a replacement facility was estimated to be \$85,000 per year. This would be partially offset by property tax revenue when the building was placed on the tax rolls.
- > The value of the K-Street property in today's dollars was estimated to be \$2.85 million when all outstanding debt is paid off in 2018.

Gain/Loss Calculation

We developed and completed an analysis (Attachment 1) that shows a gain on the sale of K Street of approximately \$1.65 to \$1.80 million depending on the date and terms of sale. The variance is due to a reduction in outstanding debt between July, 2005 and July 2006. The net amounts also include the estimated cost of moving all the records to a new location in the City. Pat Genzmer had some recent experiences with moving state records and was helpful in the calculations of these costs. It should be noted that the amount netted from the sale is based on the \$5.0 million appraised value.

We attempted to estimate the present value of the K-Street building at the end of the pay-off of the existing debt in 2018. Using a net income valuation approach, the estimate of the present value was \$2,849,687.

Replacement Options/Cash Flow Analysis

There are three replacement options that we considered. One was to rent space in a new or existing building that would meet the standards for a records center. Two was to purchase an existing building and convert it to a records center. Three was to build a new records center. We concluded that if this building were sold, it would be best to relocate the office space used by Purchasing, Affirmative Action, Human Rights, and the Women's Commission to leased space in close proximity to the City/County complex, pending the potential of moving them to the third floor of the City/County building when it is completed.

Robin Eschliman had provided us with material on existing buildings that could be use for records storage as well and a more significant number of office space options.

One option for the records storage area was a large warehouse building for lease in Lincoln Airpark. While there appeared to be adequate space, an onsite inspection led to the conclusion that this building would be very difficult to modify to meet the standards of a record center. The conclusion was that this was not a viable option.

Another option showed a listing of land in an industrial site listed at \$2.50 to \$4.00/FT. This proposal gave us an estimate of the cost for land if we were to build a new building.

We used the services of an engineering/architectural firm to provide an opinion of cost for a new storage space. Site visits were made to two record centers in Bellevue, NE and Des Moines, IA. The results of this analysis are attached. (Attachment 2 is locating a building on Non-Industrial land and Attachment 3 is locating a building on Industrial land). The non-industrial site was priced at \$10.8 million, and the industrial site was priced at \$9.0 million.

We also reviewed an offer to lease space in an industrial building in Lincoln. This proposal appeared to be the most reasonable option from a cash flow basis because the build option would add approximately \$500,000 of additional cash needs to service the new debt. We determined that this location would result in an increase in cash outflow of approximately \$85,000 to lease a space with comparable square footage and volumes. Don Killeen indicated the current K Street complex has a \$520 thousand cash outflow. His analysis of the offer for the Lincoln industrial location would require

approximately \$605 thousand of annual payments. The increase is nearly offset by additional tax collections the City and County would receive if K Street were placed on the property tax roles. If the total property tax collections for all governmental entities are considered, it appears there could be a positive cash flow. This analysis is included as attachments 4 and 5.

It should be noted that the property in Lincoln would probably require some leasehold improvements that may be the responsibility of the lessee. A rough estimate was that this could amount to approximately \$300,000. The relocation to a warehouse type building could also require the purchase of two special fork lifts costing in the range of \$30 to \$60 thousand each. The cost of paying for the leasehold improvements and equipment is included in the \$605 thousand estimate.

Not included in the cash flow estimate are any operational benefits or liabilities. The State Records management has indicated that a more distant location would not have an effect on their staffing and would be a benefit by not being located close to their other facilities in the event of some type of disaster. The County Records management has indicated a negative impact because longer travel distances will likely require additional staff time.

One additional note is that the lease option is based on an informal request. The leasing of replacement facilities should be subject to a competitive purchasing process and could possibly result in an amount slightly different from the \$605 thousand. It should be noted that if the City/County/State were to build or rent new space, the amount of space should be large enough to provide for at least 20 years of expansion.

Other observations:

We determined that the expansion capacity of the existing records center at K Street is limited to a period of two to four years. The state records area is currently at capacity, but the state is planning on moving material stored by the State Department of Health and Human Services and allowing this space to be used by the State Records Department. This should allow the state to have enough capacity for one additional year of record storage. The County Records Center is not currently at capacity, but will be in approximately three to four years at the current rate of growth. There is an area that is used to store police evidence and this could be converted to records storage, but a replacement site for the police records would be needed.

The existing K Street building is used to house a fiber optics space and the roof is used as a line of site location for wireless communications with Urban Development and potentially other Departments. If K-Street is sold, it may be cost effective to obtain an easement to continue to utilize these facilities.

We did a structural analysis of the K Street building to see if the records could be more densely stored, thus gaining additional capacity. The structural analysis indicated that the building was near its design capacity and should not be reconfigured to add more weight per square foot.

It appears the State is reluctant to see any increase in rent costs for records maintenance during the two-years of their current biennium that began on July 1, 2005.

This analysis was based on a cash sale of \$5 million. Any modifications in terms of delayed purchase of a portion of the property would have some impact on this analysis, but is not expected to be material.

K Street Sources and Uses 1-Jul-05

Sale of K Street	,			
			7/1/2005	7/1/2006
Sales Price			5,000,000	5,000,000
	Total Sources		5,000,000	5,000,000
Uses				•
Retire existing bonds			2,985,000	2,845,000
Retire NACO lease			61,113	36,668
Moving Costs				
State Records Manageme	nt		130,000	136,500
State Historical Society			50,000	52,500
County Records Managem	ent (50% of RM)		65,000	68,250
Office Move	\$ 350.00	60	21,000	22,050
Police Evidence			30,000	30,000
Professional Services			10,000	10,000
	Total Uses		3,352,113	3,200,968

Net

1,647,887

Attachment 1

1,799,032

Public Building Commission: Records Storage Facility 2005 Opinion of Costs for New Facility: Non-Industrial Land Site

			A DESIGNATION AND A SECOND AND A SECOND ASSESSMENT AS A DESIGNATION AS A SECOND AS A SECON	And the second s
4.0 BIII DIMO COMOTTOLION (2007 ACCOUNT)			THE PERSON NAMED OF T	
+		01/0	A Wommon	And a second proposed
Building Related Sitework	Size in s.f.	S/s.f.	Cost	Notes
1.1 Site Paving for Parking	20,000	\$4.50	\$90.000	60 stalls
1.2 Sidewalks	3,500	\$3.50	\$12.250	- Committee of the Comm
1.3 Landscaping	Lumb Sum	The state of the s	AOR ORO	A Company of the Comp
1.4 Exterior Lighting	Lump Sum	THE PROPERTY OF THE PROPERTY O	610,000	Saediiig & fedulieu sireei frees
1.5 Exterior Signage	ming camin	THE RESIDENCE OF THE PROPERTY	\$10,000 \$E 000	puliding-mounted security lights
1.6 Retaining walls for loading dock grades	200	ADE OO	45,000	Accompany to the second
1.7 Fencing	Lump Sum	00.049	\$5,000	chain line River Box 12 to the to
	ACADA CANADA CAN	SUBTOTAL	\$160,000	viani ilih, oʻligii, aco per ifi. It
General Construction (Tiltup Concrete Panels, Steel Frame)	Size in s.f.	\$/8.	Tao D	
1.8 County/City Records Storage Area	24,000	\$72.00	\$1 728 000	
1.9 Police Storage Area	16,405	\$72.00	\$1 181 160	hoofing only, no coulling, so neight
1.10 Records Office Area	19,800	\$100.00	\$1.980.000	interior finish hasting 8 anding
1.11 Nebraska State Historical Society Storage Area	15,885	\$85.00	\$1 350 005	hostics & coling on the coling
1.12 Nebraska State Historical Society Vault	3,000	\$90.00	\$270,000	full climate country tomographs & Line
SUBTOTAL	79 090	With the second	\$5 E00 30E	the chinate control * terriperature & number
5% Contingency	- () () () () () () () () () (\$325,469	
STATE OF THE STATE	O TO			
FIGURE CONS	OCHON TOTALS	WAS A STATE OF THE	\$6,994,854	
COST PER SQ. FT, CONSTRUCTION	CONSTRUCTION	\$88.44	THE PROJECT OF THE PR	
SITEWORK	TALL THE PROPERTY OF THE PROPE	777000000	THE PRODUCTION WITH THE PRODUCTION OF THE PRODUC	amman, .
Utilities		Normal Annual An		11 11 12 13 13 13 13 14 15 15 15 15 15 15 15 15 15 15 15 15 15
2.1 Storm Sewer		AMMINIA AND AND AND AND AND AND AND AND AND AN	MANAAAAAAAA AA	
2.2 Water Lines	The state of the s	**************************************	TANAMATAN A TITLE OF THE PART AND A TITLE OF THE PART	Dilling
2.3 Sanitary Sewer	PARTIE DE LA CONTRACTION DEL CONTRACTION DE LA C	ANTALIA DE LA CALLA DE LA CALL	WYWWINDOWN AND AND AND AND AND AND AND AND AND AN	offe work will was desconting on the state
2.4 Electrical Service		NORTH OF THE PROPERTY OF THE P	1000A 1000AAA	assumed 15% of building construction and to
		SUBTOTAL	\$1,049,228	non-industrial zoned site.
FURNISHINGS & EQUIPMENT				
3.1 Storage Racks	Lump Sum	TATAATI	\$280,000	Based on unit price of \$31,000 for
		Manual Annual An	- Contraction	200 linear feet, 24-foot high steel rack system
3.2 Moveable Furnishings	Lump Sum	The second secon	\$20,000	limited allowance
3.3 Fixed Furnishings for Office Area	Lump Sum	TO COLUMN 1 (1) (1) (1) (1) (1) (1) (1) (1) (1) (\$20,000	limited allowance
3.4 Interior Signage	Lump Sum		\$4,500	limited allowance
3.5 Forklifts	4	\$20,000.00	\$80,000	assumed purchase of 4
	-	SUBTOTAL	\$404,500	
		Administrative de la constantina della constanti		Million II

SINCLAIR hille architects

Attachment 2/1

Page 1

4.0 PROFESSIONAL 4.1 Survey 4.2 Architec 4.3 Material 4.4 Soils Te	PROFESSIONAL FEES 4.1 Survey				
4.1 SU 4.2 Ar 4.3 Mr 4.4 SC	игуеу				THE PARTY OF THE P
4.2 Ar 4.3 Mr 4.4 Sc			, , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , ,	040 OCO	The state of the s
4.3 Mr.	4.2 Architectural / Fnainearing Services	The state of the s		000,210	1
4.4 SC		THE PROPERTY OF THE PROPERTY O	**************************************	\$520,418	8% of bidg. construction, excludes contingency
4.4 Sc	4.3 Waterials Testing	- 1,20000000		\$20,000	
	4.4 Soils Testing		000000000000000000000000000000000000000	000 00\$	
	SUBT	SUBTOTAL		\$572,418	And the state of t
			THE WAY WAS A STATE OF THE PARTY OF THE PART		AMMATINE AND
5.0 SPECIAL P	SPECIAL PERMIT FEES & EXPENSES				WHIRETON A. T. A. C.
Zoning	**************************************		AAAA	**************************************	TO THE PARTY AS A SECOND ASSAULT AS A SECOND AS A SECO
5.1 Ci	5.1 City Fees		TRAY PANAGAMAN TO THE MINISTRAL THE MINISTRAL TO THE MINISTRAL TO THE MINISTRAL TO THE MINISTRAL THE MINISTRAL TO THE MINISTR		The second secon
5.2 Legal	3gal		**************************************		200000000000000000000000000000000000000
5.3 Er	5.3 Engineering	AND A COLUMN TO SERVICE OF THE SERVI		OA W	**************************************
5.4 An	5.4 Architectural	- Commission Control of the Control	#0000000000000000000000000000000000000		
	Annual Market and the state of		777	0.5	
	A CATALON AND AND AND AND AND AND AND AND AND AN		SUBTOTAL	0\$	
6.0 FINANCING COSTS	G COSTS	- I Laboratoria de la companya de la	7.7 (200)		
		The state of the s	WWW.	26	- A A A A A A A A A A A A A A A A A A A
7.0 LAND COST		130,000	\$14.00	\$1.820.000	Acceptance londer Indiana
AND ADDRESS OF THE PARTY OF THE		T Familia College Coll			ייטיי ייטיים במופט פווס כוספו
		2005 TOTAL PROJECT COST	OJECT COST	\$10.841,000	The proposed of the proposed o

Public Building Commission: Records Storage Facility 2005 Opinion of Costs for New Facility: Non-Industrial Land Site

Public Building Commission: Records Storage Facility 2005 Opinion of Costs for New Facility - Industrial Land Site

Size in s.f. Size in s.f. Size in s.f.	y annut.
Size in s.f. \$/s.f.	
Size in s.f. Size in s.f. Size in s.f.	
San Service	Cost
Pewalks	60 ctalls
Lump Sum	
terior Lighting tenior Lighting tenior Signage talining walls for loading dock grades telining walls for loading dock grades telining walls for loading dock grades to instruction (Tillup Concrete Panels, Steel Frame) Torstruction (Tillup Steel Frame) Torst	\$25 250 seeding & required etroot troop
ILump Sum	4
Substitution of the content of the	+
Control Cont	\$12.50
Subtrocted Size in s.f. Subtrocted Size in s.f.	\$5.000 chain link 6' high \$25 part lin #
Onstruction (Tiltup Concrete Panels, Steel Frame) Size in s.f. \$/s.f. untrlyCily Records Storage Area 24,000 \$72.00 \$ lice Storage Area 16,405 \$72.00 \$ cords Office Area 16,405 \$70.00 \$ braska State Historical Society Storage Area 15,885 \$85.00 \$ braska State Historical Society Vault 3,000 \$90.00 \$ braska State Historical Society Vault Sw.Contingency \$ \$ cost File Historical Society Vault Sw.Contingency \$ \$ swer COST PER SG. FT. CONSTRUCTION \$88.44 \$ ctrical Sewer COST PER SG. FT. CONSTRUCTION \$ \$ ctrical Service COST PER SG. FT. CONSTRUCTION \$ \$ ctrical Service COST PER SG. FT. CONSTRUCTION \$ \$ ctrical Service COST PER SG. FT. CONSTRUCTION \$ \$ ctrical Service COST PER SG. FT. CONSTRUCTION \$ \$	-
Size in s.f. Size in s.f.	1
Sewer Sewer	Cost
Ice Storage Area	\$1.728 000 heating only no cooling 30, height
cords Office Area 19,800 \$100.00 \$1 braska State Historical Society Vault 3,000 \$85.00 \$8 braska State Historical Society Vault 79,090 \$90.00 \$6 braska State Historical Society Vault 5% Contingency \$6 \$6 coos Bull Ding CONSTRUCTION TOTALS \$6 \$6 \$6 coos Bull Ding CONSTRUCTION TOTALS \$6 \$6 \$6 construction Sewer COST PER SQ. FT. CONSTRUCTION \$88.44 \$6 cotrical Sewer cotrical Service COST PER SQ. FT. CONSTRUCTION \$6 COST PER SQ. FT. CONSTRUCTION \$6 cotrical Service COST PER SQ. FT. CONSTRUCTION COST PER SQ. FT. CONSTRUCTION \$6 COST PER SQ. FT. CONSTRUCTION \$6 cotrical Service COST PER SQ. FT. CONSTRUCTION COST PER SQ. FT. CONSTRUCTION COST PER SQ. FT. CONSTRUCTION \$6	+
braska State Historical Society Vault 15.885 \$85.00 \$10000 \$1000 \$10000 \$10000 <td>-</td>	-
braska State Historical Society Vault SUBTOTAL \$3,000 \$90.00 \$5% Contingency 79,090 \$90.00 \$5% Contingency \$6% Contingency \$88.44 \$5% Contingency \$88.44 \$88.44 \$5% EQUIPMENT \$88.44 \$88.44 \$5% EQUIPMENT \$88.44 \$88.44 \$6S & EQUIPMENT \$1000 \$1000 \$1000 \$1000 \$1000 \$1000 \$1000 \$2000 \$1000 \$1000 \$2000 \$1000 \$1000 \$2000 \$1000 \$1000 \$2000 \$1000 \$1000 \$2000 \$1000 \$1000 \$2000 \$1000 \$1000 \$2000 \$1000 \$1000 \$2000 \$1000 \$1000 \$2000 \$1000 \$1000 \$2000 \$1000 \$1000 \$2000 \$1000 \$1000 \$2000 \$1000 \$1000 \$2000 \$1000 \$1000	+
Subtrotal 79,090 980,000 \$6 Sewer 2005 BUILDING CONSTRUCTION TOTALS \$6 \$6 DTM Sewer COST PER SQ. FT. CONSTRUCTION \$88.44 \$6 Sterical Service Schrical Service SUBTOTAL SUBTOTAL GS & EQUIPMENT Lump Sum Lump Sum	+
Sewer COST PER SQ. FT. CONSTRUCTION TOTALS \$88.44 Difficulty Sewer Sewer Subtrotal Setrical Service Subtrotal GS & EQUIPMENT Subtrotal GS & EQUIPMENT Lump Sum	\$270,000 full climate control - temperature & humidity
S% Contingency \$6 Sewer COST PER SQ. FT. CONSTRUCTION \$88.44 Ster Lines Initiary Sewer Sewer schrical Service Substorted GS & EQUIPMENT Substorted Initiary Sum Lump Sum	\$6,509,385
Sewer COST PER SQ. FT. CONSTRUCTION TOTALS \$88.44 orm Sewer ater Lines 1 octrical Service 2005 BUILDING CONSTRUCTION \$88.44 ordinary Sewer 1 1 octrical Service 2 2 GS & EQUIPMENT SUBTOTAL GS & EQUIPMENT Lump Sum	8325,469
Time Sewer COST PER SQ. FT. CONSTRUCTION \$88.44 Sewer Sewer Initary Sewer Sewer Schricel Service SUBTOTAL GS & EQUIPMENT SUBTOTAL Initary Sum Lump Sum	\$6 004 854
orm Sewer Item Sewer ster Lines Item Sewer octrical Service SUBTOTAL GS & EQUIPMENT Lump Sum	The state of the s
orm Sewer ster Lines Intary Sewer cotrical Service GS & EQUIPMENT GS & EQUIPMENT Lump Sum	
SUBTOTAL LUmp Sum	manufact for the state of the s
SUBTOTAL SUBTOTAL Lump Sum	The short of the state of the s
SUBTOTAL SUBTOTAL Lump Sum	The state of the s
SUBTOTAL SUM SUM	Building site currently unknown
SUBTOTAL SUM SUM	site costs will van depending on location
SUBTOTAL SUBTOTAL LUMP Sum	assumed 10% of building construction cost for
Lump Sum	\$699,485 industrial zoned site.
Lump Sum	
	\$280,000 Based on unit price of \$31,000 for
A company of the control of the cont	
Lump Sum	\$20,000 limited allowance
gs for Office Area.	<u> </u>
Signage Lump Sum	ļ
3.5 Forklifts \$20,000.00 \$1	Ĺ``
SUBTOTAL \$404,500	

Page 1

SINCLAIR hille architects

3/1

Attach ment

Date: Aug. 25, 2005 PROFESSIONAL FEES 4.1 Survey 4.2 Architectural / Engineering Services 4.3 Materials Testing 4.4 Soils Testing 4.4 Soils Testing SPECIAL PERMIT FEES & EXPENSES Zoning 5.1 City Fees 5.2 Legal 5.3 Engineering 5.4 Architectural FINANCING COSTS LAND COST

\$9,057,000

2005 TOTAL PROJECT COST

Attachment 3/2

K- Street Analysis Present Value Calculations September, 2005

Assumptions:		
Term	14	
Inflator	4.0%	
Additional Operational Costs	86,726	
Present Value of K-Street income to 2018	2,850,000	
Gain On Sale at July 1, 2006	1,800,000	
Property Tax on \$12,000,000	72,000	
1 τορειτή ταλ οτι φτ2,000,000	12,000	
Calculation:		
Present Value of Keeping K Street		
Present Value of K-Street income to 2018		\$ 2,850,000.00
Present Value of Selling K Street		
Present Value of increased operational costs		(\$916,942.40)
Gain on Sale of K Street in 2006		1,800,000.00
Present Value of Property Tax income		760,544.85
	Sub Total	\$1,643,602.45
Gain (Loss)		(\$1,206,397.55)

Note: Gain on Sale is based on selling at \$5,000,000

Attachment 4

K- Street Analysis Present Value Calculations September, 2005

Assumptions:		
Term	14	
Inflator	4.0%	
Additional Operational Costs	86,726	
Present Value of K-Street income to 2018	2,850,000	
Gain On Sale at July 1, 2006	1,800,000	
Property Tax on \$12,000,000	240,000	
Calculation:		
Present Value of Keeping K Street		
Present Value of K-Street income to 2018		\$ 2,850,000.00
Present Value of Selling K Street		
Present Value of increased operational costs		(\$916,942.40)
Gain on Sale of K Street in 2006		1,800,000.00
Present Value of Property Tax income		 2,535,149.50
	Sub Total	 \$3,418,207.10
Gain (Loss)	•	\$568,207.10

Note: Gain on Sale is based on selling at \$5,000,000

Attachmont 5